


The Great Derangement



The great derangement refers to the profound disconnection between the reality of climate change and the narratives that dominate public discourse and policy-making. Initially articulated by Indian novelist Amitav Ghosh in his 2016 work, "The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable," the term encapsulates the failure of literature, politics, and society to fully engage with the existential crisis posed by environmental degradation. Ghosh argues that the cultural and imaginative realms are

largely ill-equipped to represent the scale and urgency of climate issues, resulting in a collective derangement in our understanding and response to the climate crisis.

The Concept of Great Derangement

At its core, the great derangement illustrates a cognitive dissonance that permeates contemporary society. This disconnect manifests in various ways, including the trivialization of climate change in popular media, the persistence of outdated political paradigms, and the broader societal failure to integrate scientific understanding into everyday life.

The Cultural Response to Climate Change

1. Literature and Art:

- Inadequate Representation: Ghosh argues that literature has largely failed to address the enormity of climate change. Traditional narratives often revolve around human experiences that seem detached from environmental realities, making it challenging for readers to grasp the implications of climate change on human lives.
- Emerging Voices: Despite this, there is a growing body of work by authors and artists who are attempting to engage with environmental themes. Writers like Elizabeth Kolbert, Margaret Atwood, and Kim Stanley Robinson are exploring the complexities of climate change through their narratives, helping to foster a deeper understanding of the crisis.

2. Media Coverage:

- Sensationalism vs. Substance: Many media outlets prioritize sensational stories or political drama over critical discussions about climate science. This often leads to a distorted public perception of the urgency of climate issues.
- Framing and Narratives: The framing of climate change in the media often lacks a sense of agency or hope, contributing to a feeling of helplessness among the public. More constructive narratives that emphasize solutions and human resilience are needed.

The Political Landscape

1. Policy Inertia:

- Short-term Focus: Politicians often prioritize short-term economic gains over long-term sustainability, resulting in policies that do not adequately address the climate crisis.
- Partisan Divides: Climate change has become a politically charged issue, leading to polarized debates that hinder collaborative efforts toward meaningful solutions.

2. Global Disparities:

- Developed vs. Developing Nations: The impacts of climate change are not felt equally across the globe. Developing nations often bear the brunt of environmental degradation despite contributing the least to the problem. This disparity complicates global policy discussions and requires nuanced solutions that consider social justice.

The Scientific Understanding of Climate Change

Understanding the scientific underpinnings of climate change is essential to bridging the gap between reality and public perception. The great derangement is partly rooted in a widespread misunderstanding of the science itself.